

**EQUAL OPPORTUNITY
OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA**

No. 11 of 1992

BEFORE: Mr N.P. J
Ms P. Ha
Ms B. Bu

Counsel for
Counsel for

HEARD: 9th March

REASON

(Delivered

The C
the g
Comp

The I
1985,
carav
twelv
for v
descr
are rc
Visito
near
guest

In m'
could
The
chanc
need
the G
Augu
\$75.0

an

A:

ac

10

In

th

he

th

C:

A

W

w

th

th

T

si

ar

th

vi

di

these

Comj

did n

The '

the 9

and f

Some

becar

Comj

accor

had

Burle

to m

worri

and a

van."

The C

1989 th
through
Respon
emerge
unauth
Aborigi

The Re
about to
people
then m
consequ
mess on
ablution
human
the toil
been m
annoye
Aborigi
some b
Burleig
Comple

It was

Comp
time
wheth
althou
of the
matte
theref

At the
park o
of den
up wa
the pa
most
for Ed
in que
days t
in the
was "

Kelly
the m
eviden
out be

wa

felt

wa

Co

the

exp

Th

ha

inj

ing

up.

Th

day

wa

fro

ten

in


tha

Th

Le

no

tha



th

d

S

in

a

a

s

T

u

th

fi

in

P

E

c

V

T

O

d

g

C

conduc

conduc

act of

act and

intent t

92-296

Against

The Tr

occasio

convers

The Re

3rd Jul

and a i

testimon

It emer

the pre

tenancy

conduct

1989.

have sc

c

h

F

C

v

T

F

f

C

C

C

s

L

I

V

F

f

L

F

F

Complainant, in that order
without making any attempt
extenuating circumstances
attached to both Aboriginal
Complainant's five year
absence of any comparable
at all. The conclusion
families was not due to
determination of responsibility
the Complainant and her

The Tribunal notes that
censured in any manner
was no way of knowing
This supports an inference
of a different race and
meaning of the statutory

The Tribunal is not persuaded
same manner, especially
for the mess was a five
behaviour, as evidence
reasonable to assume that
situation he discovered

eit

ev

ye

me

co

inc

he

Ce

re

w

It

re

re

te

th

Tl

Tl

pe

be

of

he

M

circumstances
have been aff
to confirm, h
the Aborigina

The incident
Ultimately, t
denial by the
exchange or
such an exch
because it de
her at the C
question is a
Aboriginal c
Respondent c
corroborated
Burleigh as r
just made co
that the Resp
and might co

The Tribunal
discriminatio
in regard to

re

T

S

st

b

co

re

C

In

d

in

h

co

th

re

In

b

d

to

b

humiliation a
was convinc
discrimination
family were
Park. It seem

There have b
Aboriginal di
and in such c
in respect of
licensed prem
or as a resul
because the
that although
finding altern
of eviction, h
so might be s

Accordingly,
\$5,000.00 sho
way of hurt
apology in th
Proprietor of
unlawfully asl
Park in Nove
as a result of

