JURISDICTION : EQU.

WES'

LOCATION : PERT

CITATION : COLI

CORAM : Depu

Depu

HEARD : 17 AU

DELIVERED : 17 O(

FILE NO/S : ET/20

BETWEEN : CHRI

Comp

AND

WOO

Respc

Catchwords:

Equal Opportunity - alleged d goods and services

Legislation:

ss 5, 36, 46, 161, Equal Opport

Result:

Application dismissed

Repr

Coun.

Co: Res

Solici

Co Res

Case(

Fenw KLK


Case(

Bear v Chief Evans Hall & Hoppe Discri Judge Water

JUDGMENT OF TH

Introduction

- At the heart of complainant, Classification of race complainant was Centre (Mandusthat afternoon vin Mandurah Big This is essential Ms Reilly watch was told by Ms using certain macustomers only.
- The respondent Ms Reilly, denic grounds. Specif different picture respondent's no race; (ii) even if of the complains the testers were complainant that use the testers arose between to called Ms Reill manager, Mr Sir.
- 3 Unless otherwis section of the Eq on s 46 which propayment or not, to discriminate a race, materially services or facility which those good
- 4 Section 36(1) pr



Jo at the M:		ę		
Issues as	 			
8 Th ren the dif				
9 Th				
wa				
col wa				
evi				
ev: "si				
lik				
10 Th the Ms				
a f				
a i				
op: <i>yo</i> i				
tes				
goi				
res of				
goi				
coı				- i
On wh				
wh				
coi sai				
Mr				
11 Ms				
cor				
			1 .	

also denied t

12 Importantly, that Ms Reil products and complainant to the effect the complair that they we evidence to opened. Ms minutes.

On the comp 13 this point beq her - someon of her skin. Ms Reilly act could unequi appeared as the way she a - she was app effect that M The complain that the com of the colour accepted tha Ms Viti also examination, her she did approached t evidence to ti and that she accepted that Ms Reilly w know, whethe

On the comp the manager! have to put up the manager.

ţ

explained, on all of th

Mr Feather what had Ms Reilly Mr Feather of their rac them and to wasting the was sure I complainar dealt with Mr Feather walked in a

16 Under cros faced discri no previous examinatio: "many occi incident at "actually c treated that that, in he transferred working wi remark. W triggered t volunteered discriminat evidence b discriminat:

17 The Tribun argumentati rather than at Mandura issues incluagainst precosmetics s

be who co ha 18 Al be ba dic co for on ev inc or trice ev ref 19 M: 26 M: aft M: he: ev

	22	21	20
acca The host a ra	cos cus bala to t Tril acc rud at t evic	ser for tha Thi Ms acc the	Tha tha Tri
ربو اند د			
,			
1		ن	
, t			
.			
# L			
<u>-1.</u>			
I			
.			, ,

The nu The ca.

c b c si 28 U ci tl T tŀ 29 30 th so th all co No on go do 31 M W M

no 1 and war "sec wer aga Sati busi if th to " ann sect refe othe in f have on S and beca had com the disc: Mr l calls Importantly following e "Do y her th that t custo was c purely syster

32

(4 Ms We deterre "Mrs C that "s referen the "je and reli 33 It follows Vita section cosmet instruct 34 Conclusions By reas compla respond general complar 35 g. 1