## Independent Market Operator

IMO Procedure Change and Development Working Group

Minutes

| Item | Subject   | Action |  |  |  |
|------|---|--------|--|--|--|
|      | prior to the meeting.   |        |  |  |  |
| 2.   | MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING   |        |  |  |  |
|      | The minutes from Meeting 9 of the Working Group, held March 2011, were circulated for comment in April 2011.  | on 28  |  |  |  |
|      | The minutes were accepted by Working Group members true and accurate record of the previous meeting.  | as a   |  |  |  |
|      | Action Point: The IMO to publish the minutes of Meeting No.9 on the website as final.   | IMO    |  |  |  |
| 3.   | ACTIONS ARISING   |        |  |  |  |
|      | The Chair invited Ms Fiona Edmonds to give an update or Action Points. The following points were noted:   | the    |  |  |  |
|      | x Item 119: The IMO update to the Market Procedure<br>Prudential Requirements is still underwathe IMO will<br>outline the amendments in the Procedure Change Prop<br>when formally submitted.   |        |  |  |  |
|      | x Item 121: The proposed amendments to the Mar<br>Procedure for undertaking LT PASA and conducting a re-<br>of the planning criterion and forecasting processes i<br>underway. The IMO will outline the amendments in<br>Procedure Change Proposal whefermally submitted.   | view   |  |  |  |
|      | x Item 126: The proposed amendments to the Reser<br>Capacity Security Market Procedure will be formally subr<br>subject to the decision of the IMO Board on the Rule Ch<br>Proposal: Reserve Capacity Security (RC_2010_12).  | nitted |  |  |  |
| 4.   | REVISED MARKET PROCEDURE FOR THE PRE-<br>REGISTRATION OF DEMAND SIDE PROGRAMMES AND THE<br>ASSOCIATION OF CURTAILABLE LOAD, INTERRUPTIBLE<br>LOADS AND NON DISPATCHABLE LOADS (PC_2011_03)  |        |  |  |  |
|      | The Chair invited Ms Edmonds to provide an overview of the<br>revised transitional Market Procedure. Ms Edmonds explained<br>that subsequent to formal submission into the Procedure Change<br>Process the IMO had identified a number of issues with the<br>proposed new Market Procedure. In particular as a Demand Side<br>Programme (DSP) is not current registered facility the<br>proposed process would not have worked in practice. In addition,<br>issues were identified with the naming convention for DSPs and a<br>number of opportunities highlighted for simplifying the process for<br>Market Customers during the transition period. |        |  |  |  |
|      | Ms Edmonds explained that the first step of the amended I<br>Procedure was for the IMO to develop a transition plan fo  |        |  |  |  |

Ms Edmonds explained that the first step of the amended Market Procedure was for the IMO to develop a transition plan for each Market Customer which outlines DSP facility name (s) to which the Market Customers Capacity

| Item | Subject  | Action           |         |
|------|--|------------------|---------|
|      | final step in the process is to apply to associate loads with registered DSP facility.   | a pre-           |         |
|      | Ms Edmonds confirmed that Market Participants woul<br>involved along every step of the process and would be all<br>discuss their transition plan and any requirements directly<br>the IMO. Ms Edmonds noted that if Market Customers | ole to<br>/ with | .2154 T |

| ltom |  |  |
|------|--|--|
| nem  |  |  |
|      |  |  |