

| Item | Subject | Action |
|------|---|--------|
| 2. | <p>ACTIONS ARISING</p> <p>All actions arising were complete with the following exceptions.</p> <p>Item 107: The Chair noted that this action item was ongoing in its nature.</p> <p>Item 138: The Chair noted that the Market Procedure for Notices and Communications was still with the IMO for a Final Decision.</p> | |
| 3. | <p>PC_2013_06: MARKET PROCEDURE FOR CERTIFICATION OF RESERVE CAPACITY</p> <p>Ms Jenny Laidlaw introduced the Market Procedure for Certification of Reserve Capacity and highlighted the changes made to it since the IMOPWG last reviewed it. The IMOPWG discussed the IMO's proposed amendments to the Market Procedure. The following changes and actions were agreed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Table 1: The IMO to amend the defined term "Temperature Dependence Curve", so that it can be determined not only by an independent engineering firm but also by what is detailed in the manufacturer's technical specifications. • Step 3.5.2: The IMO to consider whether the order of the listed factors should be amended to provide additional clarity. • Step 3.5.7: The IMO to re-order the list to put the mandatory requirement first. <p>Ms Jacinda Papps queried whether under step 3.5.4 the IMO could provide notification to applicants more than 15 Business Days in advance of the deadline in step 3.9.1. Mr Greg Ruthven noted that timeframes don't allow the IMO to do this in all cases but that the IMO will always try to provide notification as early as possible.</p> <p>There was considerable discussion regarding how the IMO would assess whether there is sufficient fuel and certainty of fuel supply for a Facility seeking certification of a given quantity of Reserve Capacity. Some concerns were raised that the current drafting (which had removed the 14 hours fuel requirement to reflect the changes proposed in the Rule Change Proposal: Harmonisation of Supply-Side and Demand-Side Capacity Resources (RC_2013_10)) was too vague and introduced uncertainty and regulatory risk.</p> <p>The Chair noted that there was a trade-off between certainty and flexibility in this case and invited suggestions from IMOPWG members. No solution was agreed upon, although the following suggestions and principles were discussed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It was suggested that the fuel requirement threshold could be linked to whether the Facility is available during 'peak periods' (not to be defined further). However, it was concluded that the use of this term may not actually provide greater certainty to Market Participants. • The assessment of fuel is not required to consider the implications of an external disruption to fuel supply, as this scenario is not considered in the Planning Criterion. • The IMO would take into account and should be notified where a Market Participant anticipates a change in the | |

| Item | Subject | Action |
|------|---|------------|
| | <p>operating characteristics of its Facility that may affect its fuel requirements, e.g. a move from base load to peaking operation.</p> <p>The IMO agreed to review section 4.3 to identify whether any further amendments could be made to provide greater certainty to Market Participants without sacrificing flexibility or introducing further uncertainty.</p> <p><i>Action Point: The IMO to update the Market Procedure for Certification of Reserve Capacity, taking into account the suggestions made and the amendments agreed to at the 6 February 2014 Working Group meeting and to submit the updated Market Procedure into the formal Procedure Change Process.</i></p> | <p>IMO</p> |

4. PC_2013_09: MARKET PROCEDURE FOR RESERVE CAPACITY PERFORMANCE MONITORING

| Item | Subject | Action |
|------|---------|--------|
|------|---------|--------|

publishing of information.

The following step was discussed and action agreed:

| Item | Subject | Action |
|------|--|--------|
| | <p>would be circulating it for feedback in the coming weeks.</p> <p>She also noted that the proposed Amending Rules in the Rule Change Proposal: Changes to the Reserve Capacity Price and the dynamic Reserve Capacity refunds regime (RC_2013_20) may require minor changes to the Market Procedure for Reserve Capacity Testing and the IMO will submit it for feedback during the rule change process.</p> | |