

**Independent Market Operator**  
**IMO PROCEDURE CHANGE AND DEVELOPMENT GROUP**

---

**Minutes**

<b>Meeting No.</b>	8
--------------------	---

**Location:** IMO Project Meeting Room

Level 5, 190 St Georges Terrace, Perth W n.879 g106.26 472.5 439.2 23.28 ref 879 g111.

---

Item	Subject	Action
------	---------	--------

The Chair noted:

- Mr Martin Maticka would be attending the meeting as a presenter and subject matter expert for the Data and Interface Requirements Market Procedure; and
- The subject matter expert was not available for the LT PASA

---

Item	Subject	Action
------	---------	--------

- Amend step 4.3.1(a) to read “Windows XP Pro...”

Mr Corey Dykstra commented on the IMO's proposal to remove the minimum workstation requirements and just specifically outline the recommended workstation requirements (step 4.2.1). Mr Maticka replied that the IMO wanted one list of requirements, therefore only included the recommended requirements

As a result, the IMO agreed to:

- amend step 4.2.1 to outline the minimum acceptable workstation requirements; and
- Delete step 4.2.2.

Mr Rhodes stated confusion around the XML requirements

---

Item	Subject	Action
------	---------	--------

- Removal of Network Control Services, expression of interest and tender processes (RC\_2010\_11); and
- Acceptable Credit Criteria (RC\_2010\_36).

The Chair asked that members only review and comment on the tracked changes as the IMO is currently undertaking a wider review of its prudential processes and will propose further amendments following this. The tracked changes include:

- The removal of reference to “Network Operator”;
- further details of the form for certification and the process for providing the IMO with the certification, along with a process for applying to be listed as an acceptable credit provider,
- the inclusion of a link to the Acceptable Credit Criteria form (form) available on the public webpage; and

Item	Subject	Action
------	---------	--------

- the IMO will consult on the proposed process with the entities on the Acceptable Credit Criteria list as part of the rule change process.

Dr Gould noted that there was a level of discontinuity in step 2.8.7 and wondered what would happen if an entity was removed from the list. Ms Edmonds responded that the Market Participant would need to seek Credit Support/ reserve capacity security from an alternative entity on the list. Dr Gould questioned the timeframes the Market Participant would have to complete this; Ms Edmonds replied that there was no time limit stated in the Market Rules.

In response to a suggestion from Mr Dykstra the IMO agreed to amend “monthly monitoring” to “periodical monitoring” in clause 2.8.7. Noting that this would reduce the monitoring burden and allow the IMO to respond more quickly in times of financial uncertainty.

Mr Hay asked if the same monitoring was done on lawyers if they were to cease operations. Mr Dykstra noted that the solicitor’s decision would still be covered by their indemnity insurance so this would not be an issue. In response to a suggestion from Mr Dykstra the IMO agreed to amend the Market Procedure to notify Market Participants if an entity on the list was removed.

Mr Dykstra asked if he could consult further internally on this Market Procedure. The Chair agreed.

*Action Point: Working Group members are to provide the IMO with any additional comments on the Market Procedure for Prudential Requirement by 2 March 2011.*

*Action Point: The IMO to update the Market Procedure for Prudential Requirements to include:*

-

---

Item	Subject	Action
------	---------	--------

Item	Subject	Action
	<p><i>Action Point: The IMO to consider reinstating the timing of the submissions into step 3.5.1 of the Market Procedure for undertaking LT PASA and conducting a review of the planning criterion and forecasting processes.</i></p> <p>Mr Dykstra questioned the intent of the changes to step 3.2.1 noting a concern that the Working Group may take the place of public comments on the review (as required under clause 4.5.16 of the Market Rules). The Chair agreed to consider including a note that that the Working Group was in addition to public submissions required under clause 4.5.16.</p> <p><i>Action Point: The IMO to consider including a note that that the Working Group was in addition to public submissions in the Market Procedure for undertaking LT PASA and conducting a review of the planning criterion and forecasting processes.</i></p> <p>Mr Dykstra suggested that there should be two different sections for the Market Procedure steps in conducting a review of the Planning Criterion and forecasting processes. The IMO agreed to consider this.</p> <p><i>Action point: The IMO to consider updating the Market Procedure to provide separate sections for procedure steps in conducting a review of the Planning Criterion and forecasting processes.</i></p> <p>The Chair noted that this procedure would be bought back to the Working Group for further review given the extent of the comments received.</p>	<p><b>IMO</b></p> <p><b>IMO</b></p> <p><b>IMO</b></p>

**6 MARKET PROCEDURE FOR PROCUREMENT OF NETWORK CONTROL SERVICES**

The Chair presented the Market Procedure for Procurement of Network Control Services to the Working Group.

The Chair noted that as a result of Rule Change Proposal: Removal of Network Control Services expression of interest and tender processes from the Market Rules (RC\_2010\_11) which removes the heads of power for this Market Procedure the IMO proposes to revoke the Market Procedure in its entirety. This is because the process will no longer be an IMO responsibility (as this is being transferred to the Network Operator) and the Market Procedure will therefore become redundant.

It was noted that the IMO will formally submit a Procedure Change Proposal noting its intention to revoke this Market Procedure in its entirety. It is anticipated that this Procedure Change will

---