

Independent Market Operator

System Management PSOP Working Group

Minutes

Meeting:	5
Location:	IMO Board Room Level 3, Governor Stirling Tower, 197 St Georges Terrace, Perth
Date:	Friday 22 May 2009
Time:	Commencing at 9.00am until 11.00pm

Members in Attendance		
Alistair Butcher	System Management	Chair (Proxy for Phil Kelloway)
Rene Kuyper	Infigen Energy	
Bill Truscott	Alinta	
Nick Walker	Verve Energy	
James Heng	Perth Energy	
Wesley Medrana	Synergy Energy	
Steve Gould	Landfill Gas & Power (LGP)	
Jacinda Papps	Independent Market Operator (IMO)	Proxy for Troy Forward
Fiona Edmonds	IMO	

Item	Subject	Action
	<p>Apologies for Peter Ryan and Andrew Stevens from Griffin Energy and from Phil Kelloway from System Management.</p>	
3.	<p>MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING / ACTIONS ARISING</p> <p>The Chair provided the Working Group with a synopsis of work completed since the previous meeting. It was noted that all actions from the previous meeting had been completed.</p> <p>The PSOP Working Group agreed that the minutes from the previous meeting were a true and accurate record.</p>	

4. **Cleansing of Generation Facility MWh output data PSOP**

The PSOP Working Group considered the amended Cleansing of Gener0868i98 tWor

Item	Subject	Action
------	---------	--------

Item	Subject	Action
------	---------	--------

PSOP does not supplant, and rather supplements the Market Rules.

Clause 4: This clause notes that System Management may vary the application of the definition on a case by case basis. The IMO queried whether this was required given the agreed amendments to clause 5.3. Additionally, the IMO expressed some concern with the lack of transparency and potential inequity with this and queried whether Market Participants were comfortable with this.

Alinta questioned how to dispute System Management’s interpretation and application of this definition (and/or the variation) should it be required. System Management noted that the Market Rules will prevail for clause 5.4 and that there is recourse for participants to appeal and also to bring to attention to the Economic Regulation Authority (ERA) or IMO with regards to System Management’s effectiveness in this function.

Section 5.1: Market Participant to submit Commissioning Test plan

Clause 2: The IMO queried the statement “System Management will consider Commissioning Test plans submitted after the timing requirement provided in the Market Rules, but *may* also be required to notify the IMO of a breach of this timing requirement”. The IMO noted that System Management “must” notify the IMO of a breach of this timing requirement. System Management agreed to amend the PSOP to reflect this.

Clause 7: A member noted the requirement that Market Participants “must” contact System Management and queried what happens if this does not happen. System Management noted that it will allege a breach of the PSOP. System Management agreed to consider revising this clause.

The IMO noted some general concerns with section 5.1. System Management and the IMO agreed to discuss these offline.

System Management agreed to amend the PSOP as agreed and circulate to members for comment before formally submitting into the Proceto .55639(g)-9.55635823(e)12.1957(m)-4.45823(b)1.31968(e)1.319

Item	Subject	Action
	with the lack of transparency and potential inequity with this.	

