

of the research. The research was approved by the ethics committee of the University of Northumbria. The participants were given the opportunity to read and sign a consent form before participating in the research. The research was conducted in a laboratory setting. The participants were given a practice trial before the start of the research to become familiar with the equipment and the procedure.

The participants were given a practice trial before the start of the research to become familiar with the equipment and the procedure. The participants were then randomly assigned to one of two conditions: the control condition and the experimental condition. The control condition was a baseline condition in which the participants were asked to perform a simple task. The experimental condition was a condition in which the participants were asked to perform a task that involved a moral dilemma.

The participants were then asked to perform a task that involved a moral dilemma. The moral dilemma was a scenario in which the participants were asked to choose between two options: a morally correct option and a morally incorrect option. The participants were asked to choose the option that they believed was the most ethical.

The participants were then asked to perform a task that involved a moral dilemma. The moral dilemma was a scenario in which the participants were asked to choose between two options: a morally correct option and a morally incorrect option. The participants were asked to choose the option that they believed was the most ethical.

The participants were then asked to perform a task that involved a moral dilemma. The moral dilemma was a scenario in which the participants were asked to choose between two options: a morally correct option and a morally incorrect option. The participants were asked to choose the option that they believed was the most ethical.

The participants were then asked to perform a task that involved a moral dilemma. The moral dilemma was a scenario in which the participants were asked to choose between two options: a morally correct option and a morally incorrect option. The participants were asked to choose the option that they believed was the most ethical.

The participants were then asked to perform a task that involved a moral dilemma. The moral dilemma was a scenario in which the participants were asked to choose between two options: a morally correct option and a morally incorrect option. The participants were asked to choose the option that they believed was the most ethical.

The participants were then asked to perform a task that involved a moral dilemma. The moral dilemma was a scenario in which the participants were asked to choose between two options: a morally correct option and a morally incorrect option. The participants were asked to choose the option that they believed was the most ethical.

The participants were then asked to perform a task that involved a moral dilemma. The moral dilemma was a scenario in which the participants were asked to choose between two options: a morally correct option and a morally incorrect option. The participants were asked to choose the option that they believed was the most ethical.

Public Domain

The Fast Track Process adheres to the following timelines, outlined in section 2.1 of the Market Rules

- Within 5 Business Days of a Rule Change Notice being published, the IMO must notify any Rule Participants that the IMO intends to consult regarding the Rule Change.
- Within 5 Business Days of the Rule Change Notice being published, any Rule Participant wishing to be consulted may contact the IMO to request consultation on the Rule Change.
- Within 10 Business Days of the Rule Change Notice being published, all consultations must be concluded.
- Within 20 Business Days of the Rule Change Notice being published, the IMO must publish a Final Rule Change Report.

The key dates in processing this Rule Change Proposal were

- The Rule Change Notice for this Proposal was published on the IMO website on 2 October 2007.
- On 2 October 2007, the IMO notified interested parties that it wished to consult regarding the Rule Change.
- Consultations on the Rule Change Proposal were completed on 22 October 2007.
- This Final Rule Change Report was published on 30 October 2007.

This Final Rule Change Report on the Rule Change Proposal has been prepared by the IMO in accordance with clause 2.1 of the Market Rules.

Based on its analysis against the Market Objectives and the responses received from interested parties, the IMO's decision is to accept the Rule Change in the form outlined in this Final Report.

The amendments to clauses 2.1, 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.1.3, 2.1.4, 2.1.5, 2.1.6, 2.1.7, 2.1.8, 2.1.9, 2.1.10, 2.1.11, and to chapter 11 of the Wholesale Electricity Market Rules will commence at 07.00am on 1 November 2007.

2.4. *The IMO's Initial Assessment of the Proposal*

The IMO decided to proceed with the Rule Change Pro

3. RULE PARTICIPANTS CONSULTED

3.2. *Landfill Gas and Power*

Landfill Gas and Power expressed its support for the proposed changes.

3.3. *System Management*

System Management considered that the proposed rule

4. THE IMO'S ASSESSMENT AND DECISION

4.1. Assessment

4.1.1. Consultations

All parties responding to IMO's request for consult

Public Domain

Public Domain