

Minutes

Meeting Title:	Demand Side Response Review Working Group (DSRRWG)
Date:	10 May 2023
Time:	2:03 PM to 4:04 PM
Location:	Microsoft TEAMS

Attendees	Company	Comment
Dora Guzeleva	(Chair) EPWA	
Dimitri Lorenzo	Bluewaters Power	
Tessa Liddelow	Shell Energy	
Jake Flynn	Collgar Wind Farm	
Justin Ashley	Synergy	
Thomas Higgins	Perth Energy	
Mark McKinnon	Western Power	
Graeme Ross	Simcoa Operations	
Peter Huxtable	Water Corporation	
Oscar Carlsberg	Alinta Energy	
Toby Price	AEMO	
Devika Bhatia	ERA	
Wayne Trumble	Newmont Mining	
Claire Richards	Enel X	From 2:17pm
George Martin	Starling Energy	
Noel Schubert	Small-Use Consumer Representative	
Chris Alexander	Small-Use Consumer Representative	
Sarah Graham	EPWA	
Thomas Marcinkowski	EPWA	
Bobby Ditric	Consultant – Lantau Group	
Dave Carlson	Consultant – Lantau Group	
Mike Thomas	Consultant – Lantau Group	
Apologies	From	Comment
Michael Zammit	Integrated Management Services	No attendance, no apologies provided

Item	Subject	Action
1	Welcome	
	The Chair opened the meeting at 2:00pm.	
2	Meeting Apologies/Attendance	
	The Chair noted the attendance as listed above and invited each of members to briefly introduce themselves.	
3	Introductions	
	The Chair outlined the role of the working group.	
4		

Item	Subject	Action
	<p data-bbox="336 253 1134 320">Need to consider how to maximise the potential value of DER – for example using coordinated aggregation.</p> <p data-bbox="288 338 1158 405">Mr Mike Thomas highlighted some of the key focus points for the working group’s consideration, which included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="336 421 1166 521">Ensuring there is harmony across the system – If some batteries are charging while some are discharging, this would result in an efficiency loss and would indicate the system is not working properly. <li data-bbox="336 539 1158 640">Consideration of coordination – some markets around the world are looking at central control and asking whether they need to mandate a certain type of response or systematic approach. <p data-bbox="288 658 882 687">The Chair invited views from the participants. She</p>	

Item	Subject	Action
------	---------	--------

Item

Item	Subject	Action
------	---------	--------

The Chair added that the outstanding issues have been identified in the RCM Review consultation paper which is out for consultation presently.

Mr Schubert commented that although the treatment of IRCR is out of scope for the DSR Review, the group should discuss the following:

- o How to encourage more response to reduce IRCR and reduce demand

Item	Subject	Action
------	---------	--------

Item	Subject	Action
	<p>Mr Price noted that a barrier to this may be that a participant can currently only get access to that service by registering as a Scheduled or Semi-scheduled facility, which may not be practical.</p> <p>Mr Price further noted that that there is the ability to accredit for a RoCoF ride through which would determine whether a load pays for that service, and that this is another opportunity for a Load to participate.</p> <p>The Chair noted that whether one Facility class can register as another class and still keep its benefits from the first class would be one of the DSRRWG's lines of inquiry.</p> <p>Mr Huxtable said the ride through capability was not yet available because it was too hard for Loads in terms of the measurement aspects (it was already difficult getting generators measured).</p> <p>Mr Price noted that Mr Huxtable was referring to participation for synthetic inertia from inverter-based resources, and noted that AEMO is committed to reviewing this</p>	

Item	Subject	Action
	<p>The Chair agreed and asked members to call minimum load services 'minimum demand services' so there is consistent terminology regarding NCESS procurement.</p> <p>Mr Ross said network constraints need to be part of the discussion on minimum load services, as customers could provide additional load but are constrained by network constraints.</p> <p>The Chair agreed.</p>	
	<p>Discussion Questions</p> <p>The Chair referred back to the questions on Slides 22, 24, 28 and 32 and asked members to consider these questions for discussion at a future meeting. The Chair also requested that DSRRWG consider whether o</p>	

Item	Subject	Action
12	Next Steps	The Chair invited members to consider the discussion questions (which will be circulated) with regard to what barriers and